

1 SUE ANN SALMON EVANS, State Bar No. 151562  
sevans@mbdlaw.com

2 AMY R. LEVINE, State Bar No. 160743  
alevine@mbdlaw.com

3 SARAH L. W. SUTHERLAND, State Bar No. 239889  
ssutherland@mbdlaw.com

4 MILLER BROWN & DANNIS  
Symphony Towers

5 750 B Street, Suite 2310  
San Diego, CA 92101

6 Telephone: (619) 595-0202  
Facsimile: (619) 702-6202

7  
8 Attorneys for Defendant SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 T.B., Allison Brenneise and Robert  
13 Brenneise,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 San Diego Unified School District,

17 Defendant.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 08 CV 0028 WQH WMc

**DEFENDANT SAN DIEGO UNIFIED  
SCHOOL DISTRICT'S REQUEST FOR  
JUDICIAL NOTICE**

Date: May 12, 2008

Time: 11:00 a.m.

Dept.: 4

Judge: Hon. William Q. Hayes

Complaint Filed: January 4, 2008

NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS  
REQUESTED BY THE COURT

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS  
SYMPHONY TOWERS  
750 B STREET, SUITE 2310  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Defendant San Diego Unified School District (“District”) hereby requests that the court take judicial notice of the following documents:

- (A) Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff T.B. in *T.B. v. San Diego Unified School District*, Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) Case No. N2007110255; and
- (B) Analysis of Comments and Changes to 2006 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Part B Regulations, 71 Fed. Reg. 46602 (August 14, 2006).

On March 12, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Dismiss in an administrative due process matter entitled *T.B. v. San Diego Unified School District*, OAH Case No. N2007110255, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. “A court may take judicial notice of ‘records and reports of administrative bodies.’” (*Mack v. Southbay Beer Distributors, Inc.*, 789 F.2d 1279, 1282 (9th Cir. 1986) [quoting *Interstate Nat. Gas. Co. v. S. Cal. Gas. Co.*, 209 F.2d 380, 385 (9th Cir. 1953); see also *MGIC Indemnity Corporation v. Weisman*, 803 F.3d 500, 504 (9th Cir. 1986) [court took judicial notice of a motion to dismiss and declaration filed in a declaratory judgment suit]; *Disabled Rights Action Committee v. Las Vegas Events, Inc.*, 375 F.3d 861, 866 (9th Cir. 2004) [court took judicial notice of licensing agreements that were documents of a State University System]; *Fadaie v. Alaska Airlines, Inc.*, 293 F.Supp.2d 1210, 1215 (W.D. Wash. 2003) [“Administrative complaints and agency decisions are the type of public records that are properly the subject of judicial notice”].)

On August 14, 2006 the United States Department of Education (“DOE”) issued its Final Regulations to the Reauthorized Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 *et seq.* As part of the Final Regulations, the DOE included an “Analysis of Comments and Changes” to the Regulations. As part of this Analysis, the DOE commented on whether parents may be reimbursed for attorneys’ fees they incurred in filing a State complaint against a school district. A copy of said comments is attached hereto as Exhibit B. “A court may take judicial notice of ‘records and reports of administrative bodies.’” (*See Mack*, 789 F.2d at 1282 (9th Cir. 1986) [quotation omitted].)

Accordingly, the District respectfully requests request that the Court take judicial notice

1 of the Motion to Dismiss and the Analysis of Comments and Changes, set forth in Exhibits A  
2 and B.

3 DATED: April 1, 2008

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS

4  
5 By: /sAmy R. Levine  
6 SUE ANN SALMON EVANS  
7 AMY R. LEVINE  
8 SARAH L. W. SUTHERLAND  
9 Attorneys for Defendant  
10 SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

MILLER BROWN & DANNIS  
SYMPHONY TOWERS  
750 B STREET, SUITE 2310  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101